In the United States Court of Federal Claims office of special masters

No. 21-1191V

(not to be published)

MELLY GRIZZELL,

Petitioner,

٧.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: April 7, 2023

Special Processing Unit (SPU); Attorney's Fees and Costs; Hourly Rates

Jimmy A. Zgheib, Zgheib Sayad, P.C., White Plains, NY, for Petitioner.

Andrew Henning, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On April 13, 2021, Melly Grizzell filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner allegeD that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration resulting from adverse effects of a tetanus diphtheria acellular pertussis vaccine received on July 23, 2020. Petition at 1. On January 24, 2023, a decision was issued awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. ECF No. 29.

¹ Because this unpublished Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, dated January 30, 2023, (ECF No. 33), requesting a total award of \$26,293.20 (representing \$25,280.10 in fees and \$1,013.10 in costs). In addition, in accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that she incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 33-3. Respondent reacted to the motion on February 6, 2023, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. ECF No. 34. Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's requests and find a reduction in the amount of fees to be awarded appropriate, for the reason listed below.

ANALYSIS

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Counsel must submit fee requests that include contemporaneous and specific billing records indicating the service performed, the number of hours expended on the service, and the name of the person performing the service. See Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs., 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316-18 (2008). Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs., 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (quoting Hensley v. Eckerhart, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). It is "well within the special master's discretion to reduce the hours to a number that, in [her] experience and judgment, [is] reasonable for the work done." Id. at 1522. Furthermore, the special master may reduce a fee request sua sponte, apart from objections raised by respondent and without providing a petitioner notice and opportunity to respond. See Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs., 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 209 (2009). A special master need not engage in a line-by-line analysis of petitioner's fee application when reducing fees. Broekelschen v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs., 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (2011).

The petitioner "bears the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred." *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.,* 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1991). The Petitioner "should present adequate proof [of the attorney's fees and costs sought] at the time of the submission." *Wasson,* 24 Cl. Ct. at 484 n.1. Petitioner's counsel "should make a good faith effort to exclude from a fee request hours that are excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary, just as a lawyer in private practice ethically is obligated to exclude such hours from his fee submission." *Hensley,* 461 U.S. at 434.

ATTORNEY FEES

Petitioner requests compensation for attorney Jimmy Zgheib; associates Jennifer Sayad and AnnMarie Sayad; and paralegals at the following rates:

	2021	2022	2023
	\$300	\$330	\$380
Jimmy Zgheib			
	\$340	\$360	\$378
Jennifer Sayad			
		Х	\$380
AnnMarie Sayad			
	\$148 - \$172	\$165 - \$177	\$175 - \$186
Paralegals			

ECF No. 33-1 at 15.

The requested rates for time billed between 2021 and 2022 are reasonable and consistent with what has previously been awarded for work these attorneys have performed for other petitioners.

For time billed in 2023, the requested increase for Jennifer Sayad is appropriate and will be awarded. However, although the proposed rate increases for Jimmy Zgheib and AnnMarie Sayad's work falls within the experience range provided in OSM's recently updated rate chart for similarly-situated attorneys, I find the specifically-requested increases to be excessive. Rather, based on my experience applying the factors relevant to determining proper hourly rates for Program attorneys,³ a rate of \$360 per hour is more appropriate for time billed by Jimmy Zgheib and AnnMarie Sayad in 2023, since it better reflects a proper rate increase amount. This reduces the amount to be awarded herein by \$120.00.⁴

ATTORNEY COSTS

Petitioner requests \$1,013.10 in overall costs. ECF No. 33-2 at 2. This amount is comprised of obtaining medical records, shipping costs, and the Court's filing fee. I have

³ See McCulloch v. Health and Human Services, No. 09–293V, 2015 WL 5634323 at *17 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Sept. 1, 2015).

⁴ This amount consists of \$380 - $$360 = $20 \times 6 \text{ hrs} = $120.00.$

reviewed all the requested costs, find them to be reasonable, and therefore award the requested amount in full.

CONCLUSION

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT IN PART Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of **\$26,173.20** (representing \$25,160.10 in fees and \$1,013.10 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.⁵

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran Brian H. Corcoran Chief Special Master

⁵ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.